I WELL

Alleged CIA Methods Irk U.S. Judge In Raus Case

STATINTL

. CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

Richmond, May 31 (P)—A Fed-should be given the "absolute eral judge today criticized what privilege" afforded governmental entry of the performance. Agency, procedures in the performance of the performan ligence Agency procedures in ance of their duties was upheld the case of a man who centereds he was falsely accused of being Baltimore.

governmental authority."

Upheld In Baltimord
His comments came as the was acting under orders to warn court heard an appeal from "members of Estonian emigre Ecrik Heine, a Canadan of groups, who were sources Estonian background, who has of foreign intelligence for charged Juri Raus, a self-lescribed CIA agent, with slander the agency" of Heine's alleged in describing him as an 'instrument of Soviet intelligence." Judge Haynsworth said this

a Soviet agent.

Chief' Judge Clement F. An attorney for Mr. Heine Haynsworth, Jr., of the Fourth pictured this as a "Nuremburg Circuit Court of Appeals's aid defense" of the type used by circumstances in the case presented "an extraordinary incrimes in which they mainstance of the everying of stance of the exercise of tained they were only following orders.

Mr. Raus's argument that he seemed to be a situation in which highly placed officials instructed subordinates to "go out and slander an individual."

He asked why the CIA, if it were vitally interested in national security, did not "leave the defendant to fend for himself."

An attorney for Mr. Raus said this would have left the CIA with the prospect of paying a "money judgment" to a person it believed was an enemy agent.

Mr. Haynsworth said this at least would "give the plaintiff a chance to vindicate himself, which he doesn't have now."

Mr. Raus's lawyer said the case represented a "real dilemma" in that a full trial would allow intelligence secrets to be exposed in the courts.

Mr. Heine's lawyer, however, said his client has a right to a full and complete trial in order to clear himself.

The appellate court routinely Mr. Heine filed a \$110,000 slander suit against Mr. Raus in 1964. He sought \$10,000 in compensatory damages and \$100,000 in punitive damages.